

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/210,055	12/11/1998	JOHN DAVID MILLER	884.055US1	6122	
21186	7590 10/31/2006		EXAMINER		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			WANG, JII	WANG, JIN CHENG	
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MANUEL ODIS, MAY DO TO		,	2628		
		*	DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/210,055	MILLER, JOHN DAVID	MILLER, JOHN DAVID		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Jin-Cheng Wang	2628			

		7.00 01.110			
	Jin-Cheng Wang	2628			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH		-			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final valuation wh	ishawa in latar In		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).	•			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
AMENDMENTS					
B. Mathematical The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the proposed amendment(s). They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See below</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33		ected claims.			
I. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	` ''	mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		mphant Amendment	(1 101-02-).		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ winded below or appended.	Il be entered and an e	explanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 32, 34, 37. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned. ·		
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paner No(s)	_			
3. Other:	(1.10/05/00) 1 aper 140(s)	M			
	(KEE N	M. TUNG			
		,			

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of Item 3(a): The amended claim 20 recites the new claim limitation of "assigning alpha as a transparency factor associated with the planar object surface". The claim limitation has not been considered after the Final Rejection was made and thus requires further consideration and/or search...